International Journal of Innovative Solutions in Engineering
2026, Vol. 2 No. 1 (pages 22–38)
DOI 10.47960/3029-3200.2026.2.1.22

Preliminary Communication

OPEN ACCESS

Artificial Intelligence in Telecommunications by 2050: A Conceptual AI-CTE Foresight Framework

Igor Jurčić[1]

[1]Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Computing and Electrical Engineering University of Mostar, Bosnia and Herzegovina

Abstract

This paper extends the Comprehensive Techno-Economic (CTE) Model by introducing Artificial Intelligence (AI) as a cross-cutting dimension that will define telecom system performance by 2050. The proposed AI-CTE framework formalizes AI-driven impacts on the Technical, Business, and Environmental layers, emphasizing autonomous network operation, predictive resource orchestration, AI-native service generation, and compliance with emerging governance requirements. AI maturity indices, long-term telecom trend extrapolations (1990–2023), and system dynamics simulations are integrated to quantify effects on spectral efficiency, energy per bit, CAPEX/OPEX distribution, and revenue trajectories. Three scenarios—Incremental AI Adoption, Transformative AI Ecosystems, and Disruptive AI Dominance — are evaluated to capture uncertainty in AI evolution and regulatory alignment. New performance indicators, including an AI Resilience Score, an AI Governance Score, a Transparency Index, and an AI Dependency Index, are introduced to assess reliability, ethical compliance, and system sovereignty. The model indicates that AI-augmented intelligence, autonomy, and governance will supersede traditional infrastructure metrics as primary determinants of competitiveness by 2050.

Article history

Received: 11.11.2025.
Revised: 05.12.2025.
Accepted: 12.12.2025.

Keywords

CTE Model,
Future Trends,
Telecommunications,
Artificial Intelligence,
Industry 5.0.

1 Introduction

The telecommunications sector has historically been shaped by successive waves of technological disruption from the transition from fixed to mobile networks in the late 20th century to the rise of broadband, cloud services, and 5G-driven digital ecosystems in the 21st century. Today, the sector is entering a phase of transformation that is both deeper and broader than any of its predecessors. Artificial Intelligence (AI) is emerging not simply as another enabling technology but as the central nervous system of future telecom networks, shaping how infrastructure is built, services are delivered, and value is created across global markets.

By 2050, AI is expected to underpin every dimension of telecommunications from the technical optimization of ultra-dense networks (8G, Li-Fi 3.0, LEO satellite constellations) to the orchestration of hyper-personalized services in a hybrid physical-virtual environment. Unlike primarily technological past shifts, the AI-driven transition introduces profound implications for business models, regulatory frameworks, labor markets, and even the geopolitical balance of the telecom industry. Telecom operators will no longer be defined solely by their infrastructure but by their ability to deploy and govern intelligent systems that can autonomously manage networks, predict user demands, allocate resources, and dynamically adapt to changing environments.

The Comprehensive Techno-Economic (CTE) Model [1], previously designed as a multidimensional framework to assess telecom operator potential

Contact Igor Jurčić, igor.jurcic@fsre.sum.ba, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Computing and Electrical Engineering University of Mostar, Bosnia and Herzegovina

across technical, business, and environmental levels, provides a solid foundation for analyzing this transformation. In earlier work, the CTE Model was successfully applied to forecast market trajectories up to 2050, with a focus on infrastructure evolution, service innovation, and regulatory contexts. However, the accelerating impact of AI across all three levels of the model calls for an explicit extension: AI is no longer a supporting factor but a structural driver of change that must be systematically integrated into forecasting frameworks.

This paper proposal responds to that need. It aims to examine AI's role as the defining force in telecommunications by mid-century and to forecast how it will reshape the CTE Model across its core domains. At the Technical Level, AI will drive autonomous networks capable of self-healing, self-optimization, and near-zero-latency connectivity. At the Business Level, AI will underpin new product portfolios, revenue models, and customer experience paradigms in metaverse and post-metaverse environments. At the Environmental Level, AI will transform the regulatory landscape, raising critical questions about governance, ethics, sustainability, and global market power.

In positioning AI at the heart of telecom futures, the proposed study acknowledges both the opportunities and risks of this transformation [2]. While AI promises unprecedented efficiency, personalization, and scalability, it also poses challenges related to dependency, bias, cybersecurity, and regulatory oversight. The research will therefore not only forecast technological and economic trajectories but also address the societal and ethical dimensions of AI in telecoms [3].

In sum, the fusion of AI with telecommunications represents a turning point comparable in significance to the advent of mobile networks or the rise of the Internet — but far more profound in scope. By embedding AI into the CTE Model and forecasting its impacts to 2050, this study seeks to provide telecom operators, policymakers, and scholars with a structured vision of the hyper-intelligent telecom landscape of the future [4].

2 Literature Review: Artificial Intelligence in Telecommunications

2.1 AI in Network Structure and Operations

AI has been recognized as a cornerstone for the evolution of network infrastructures. In 4G and 5G systems, machine learning was applied for traffic prediction, anomaly detection, and energy optimization [5]. The concept of Self-Organizing Networks (SONs) marked a shift toward AI-enabled automation, in which networks could adjust parameters in near-real time. Looking ahead, 6G and beyond are expected to introduce fully autonomous, self-optimizing networks, in which AI deployed at the edge will enable zero-touch operation and predictive resource management [6].

2.2 AI in Service Personalization and Customer Experience

At the business layer, AI is transforming how telecom operators engage with customers. AI-driven personalization enables adaptive tariffs, intelligent recommendations, and predictive churn management [7]. AI-powered virtual assistants increasingly handle customer care, while the metaverse and Web3 ecosystems open the possibility for immersive, AI-curated user experiences. Academic and industry research highlights AI's potential to orchestrate service delivery across multiple domains — from IoT platforms to immersive AR/VR environments [8].

2.3 AI in Spectrum and Resource Management

Efficient spectrum allocation has always been a bottleneck in telecommunications. Recent research highlights the role of AI in dynamic spectrum management, in which algorithms adjust frequency allocations in real time, thereby improving spectrum utilization [9]. Additionally, AI helps optimize LEO satellite constellations by managing inter-satellite routing and traffic distribution. As networks become more energy-intensive, AI also enables reductions in energy consumption through predictive load balancing and intelligent switching mechanisms [10].

2.4 Business Models and Strategic Implications of AI

The integration of AI is driving telecoms toward AI-as-a-Service (AIaaS) models, where operators not only deploy AI internally but also commercialize AI solutions externally. Research shows that global technology firms (e.g., cloud and platform providers) are increasingly converging on telecom markets, using AI to dominate both infrastructure and services. This raises questions about the survival of traditional operators, many of whom may need to pivot toward partnerships or niche services [11].

2.5 AI Governance, Regulation, and Ethical Challenges

Scholars are increasingly focused on the societal implications of AI in telecoms. Issues such as algorithmic bias, cybersecurity vulnerabilities, and AI monopolization are now central to policy debates [12]. Regulatory research emphasizes that telecoms — as custodians of national digital infrastructure — will bear a special responsibility for ensuring the trustworthy deployment of AI. The ethical use of AI in customer data management, surveillance, and automated decision-making remains one of the most contested areas [13].

2.6 Identified Gaps

Despite valuable contributions, the literature reveals three critical gaps:

- Lack of integrated forecasting frameworks that explicitly embed AI in telecom models.
- Limited exploration of cross-level effects, where AI-driven technical changes cascade into business and regulatory domains.
- Insufficient research on long-term futures (2040–2050), particularly regarding the convergence of AI with 8G, quantum networking, and virtualized service ecosystems.

The proposed study will address these gaps by extending the CTE Model with an AI-driven dimension, enabling structured forecasts of AI's transformative role in telecom markets up to 2050.

3 Methodology

3.1 Conceptual Extension of CTE Model

The study will extend the CTE Model by embedding AI as a cross-cutting dimension influencing all three levels: Technical, Business, and Environmental. This transforms the model from a descriptive tool into an AI-augmented forecasting framework [14]:

- Technical Level (TL): AI-empowered autonomous networks, predictive maintenance, energy-efficient orchestration of 6G/7G/8G, Li-Fi systems, and satellite systems.
- Business Level (BL): AI-driven product development, hyper-personalized services, intelligent sales, customer engagement, and workforce automation.
- Environmental Level (EL): AI-enabled governance, hybrid regulation (physical + virtual), ethics, sustainability, and the global politics of AI in telecoms.

3.2 Scenario Planning

Before engaging in quantitative projections, it is necessary to outline the rationale for combining data-driven and system-dynamics methods. The purpose of this subsection is to define the quantitative foundation of the AI-CTE model and to establish how numerical and simulation-based approaches can predict telecom evolution and AI maturity over time [15]:

To address uncertainty, three exploratory scenarios will be developed:

- Incremental AI Adoption – gradual and efficiency-focused integration.
- Transformative AI Ecosystems – telecoms fully redefined by AI.
- Disruptive AI Dominance – global AI platforms displacing traditional operators.

Each will be mapped against the AI-CTE Model to provide comparative forecasts.

3.3 Quantitative Forecasting

Robust and transparent data collection underpins the credibility of the AI-CTE model. This subsection provides an overview of the types and origins of data used in the study, emphasizing both empirical and expert-based sources to ensure that forecasts are grounded in diverse, verifiable evidence [16]:

- Trend extrapolation of telecom evolution (1990–2023).
- AI maturity indexes adapted from ITU, OECD, and IEEE benchmarks.
- System dynamics modeling to simulate AI-driven changes in efficiency, investment, and revenue.

3.4 Data Sources

Validation represents a critical phase of the methodological framework. It ensures that the AI-CTE model and its forecasts remain internally consistent and externally relevant. The following methods are employed to test the robustness, accuracy, and applicability of the proposed model:

- Peer-reviewed literature (IEEE, MDPI, Elsevier, arXiv).
- Industry reports (GSMA [4], ITU, Ericsson [3], McKinsey [8], WEF).
- Use of published and available expert interviews and surveys with telecom and AI specialists.

3.5 Validation

As AI-driven forecasting introduces new ethical and epistemological challenges, this subsection highlights the moral and methodological safeguards embedded in the research process. It addresses transparency, accountability, and sustainability as essential principles guiding the study [17]:

- Backcasting to test model reliability against past AI adoption.
- Expert review for methodological soundness.
- Benchmarking against global technology forecasting frameworks.

3.6 Ethical Consideration

The theoretical implications of this research go beyond incremental improvements to the CTE Model. This subsection elaborates on how the proposed AI-CTE framework contributes to the academic understanding of techno-economic forecasting, interdisciplinary integration, and long-term system evolution in the telecommunications sector.

Transparency of assumptions, accountability in forecasting, and explicit integration of equity, privacy, and sustainability concerns.

4 Expected Contributions

While the theoretical framework establishes the intellectual foundation, the study's practical outcomes provide actionable insights for industry stakeholders. This subsection highlights how telecom operators can apply the AI-CTE model to enhance decision-making, strategy formulation, and innovation management.

4.1 Theoretical Contributions

Beyond theoretical and operational relevance, this research carries implications for policy design and regulatory foresight. The subsection below discusses how the AI-CTE model can inform the development of AI governance frameworks, compliance mechanisms, and ethical standards in telecommunications policy [18]:

- Extension of the CTE Model into an AI-CTE Model.
- Development of AI-driven scenarios for long-term telecom forecasting.
- Cross-disciplinary integration of engineering, business, and regulatory perspectives.

4.2 Practical Contributions

The long-term impact of this research lies in its ability to bridge the gap between academic insight and real-world application. This subsection outlines how the findings will be disseminated across academia, industry, and public policy, ensuring that knowledge is shared and effectively translated into practice [19]:

- Strategic roadmaps for telecom operators navigating AI disruption.
- Operational insights on where AI investment yields the highest value.
- Framework for risk management in AI-dependent networks.

4.3 Policy and Regulatory Contributions

In the rapidly evolving landscape of AI-driven telecommunications, policy and regulatory frameworks play a pivotal role in ensuring that innovation progresses responsibly. As AI becomes integral to network management, customer analytics, and service personalization, regulators face new challenges in balancing innovation with security, privacy, and fairness [20]. This section explores how governance structures, compliance mechanisms, and international coordination must evolve to support transparent, accountable, and sustainable AI ecosystems within the telecom domain:

- Guidance for AI governance in telecoms.
- Recommendations for aligning with ITU, OECD, and IEEE standards.
- Ethical guidelines for balancing efficiency, privacy, and inclusivity.

4.4 Illustrative Use Case of AI-CTE Model

While detailed numerical calibration of the AI-CTE model is beyond the scope of this paper, a simplified conceptual case can clarify its practical use. Consider a medium-sized operator preparing a 2035 network investment strategy. The AI-CTE model helps assess how AI maturity affects three domains: autonomous technical operations, AI-augmented business processes, and regulatory and ethical alignment. If the operator shows strong technical AI capabilities but weak governance compliance and a high dependence on external AI platforms, the model highlights increased regulatory exposure and reduced strategic autonomy, despite improved network performance. Conversely, an operator with moderate automation but strong AI governance frameworks may score higher on long-term sustainability and compliance. This illustrates how the model supports strategic prioritization without requiring full quantitative deployment.

4.5 Knowledge Transfer and Impact

The study will serve academics, practitioners, and policymakers, offering both foresight and actionable recommendations to prepare for the AI-driven telecom landscape of 2050 [21].

5 Extension of CTE with AI Dimension

The Comprehensive Techno-Economic (CTE) Model was initially conceived as a multidimensional framework designed to capture the potential of telecom operators across technical, business, and environmental levels. Its modularity and mathematical rigor allowed fast yet accurate assessment of operator strengths and weaknesses, thereby guiding strategic decisions in the Industry 4.0 era.

This chapter introduces the AI-CTE extension, embedding AI as a cross-cutting dimension within the existing three-level framework. Rather than constituting a fourth level, AI functions as a transversal layer that modifies the performance, adaptability, and evaluation criteria of every area of the CTE model [22].

5.1 AI at the Technical Level (TL)

At the technical core of telecom operations, AI introduces automation and predictive intelligence that directly affect coverage, accessibility, technological development, and IT systems:

- Autonomous Networks: AI enables self-healing and self-optimizing infrastructures, where parameters are dynamically tuned in real time. This transforms coverage assessments from static metrics (bandwidth, latency, jitter) into dynamic, AI-mediated resilience and adaptability scores.
- Predictive Maintenance: Equipment failure and network congestion can now be forecasted, reducing downtime and operational costs. The CTE model's "Technological Development" area must incorporate new items measuring the maturity of AI-enabled monitoring systems.
- Energy Efficiency: AI-driven orchestration of heterogeneous assets (6G/7G/8G terrestrial networks, Li-Fi 2.0/3.0, and LEO

constellations) enables energy-optimized performance, a key sustainability indicator that should be quantified alongside traditional accessibility measures.

Thus, AI shifts the technical evaluation from measuring what exists to assessing how intelligently the infrastructure adapts [23].

5.2 AI at the Business Level (BL)

In the business domain, AI impacts product development, services, sales, customer care, and HR:

- Hyper-Personalized Products and Services: Traditional tariff and service development equations (e.g., QoTMPrP, QoIoTSS in the CTE model) must be extended with AI-based personalization factors, capturing how effectively operators leverage AI to tailor offers in real time.
- AI-Augmented Customer Care: Virtual assistants, natural language processing, and emotion-aware interaction redefine customer engagement quality. The "Sales and Customer Care" area requires integrating AI maturity indices that measure automation depth, handoff rates to humans, and customer sentiment analytics.
- Workforce Transformation: Human Resources (HR) must evolve to include AI-driven workforce planning and training ecosystems. This requires new HR metrics to quantify how effectively telecoms blend human capital with AI augmentation, balancing efficiency with job satisfaction and upskilling.

AI, therefore, transforms the business layer into a hybrid human-machine ecosystem, where organizational competitiveness hinges on the depth of AI integration.

5.3 AI at the Environmental Level (EL)

The environmental context — regulatory, financial, political, and brand presence — is equally reshaped by AI [24]:

- AI Governance and Regulation: Telecoms, as custodians of national digital infrastructures, face obligations to ensure transparency, fairness, and accountability in AI systems. The "Political, Financial, Regulatory, and Law Environment" area should incorporate compliance indices with global AI standards (ITU, OECD, IEEE).
- Public Trust and Brand Perception: As AI increasingly mediates customer experience, public perception of fairness, privacy protection, and ethical conduct becomes central to brand valuation. The "Quality of Brand and Public Presence" area must expand to include measures of AI-driven reputational factors, such as algorithmic transparency and perceived trustworthiness.
- Geopolitical and Economic Dependencies: Telecom operators relying on global AI platforms (e.g., hyperscalers) may face new lock-in risks. This necessitates additional items assessing resilience and autonomy in AI adoption, extending the financial and political sub-segments.

Thus, AI transforms the environmental level into a field where ethics, governance, and sovereignty define competitiveness as much as cost efficiency or political stability.

5.4 Structural Integration of AI into the CTE Model

The integration of AI transforms the CTE Model's input-output logic. Originally, the model provided deterministic outputs based on mathematically defined items. With AI as a transversal layer:

- Inputs now include dynamic data streams (network telemetry, customer behavior, environmental signals).
- Outputs are enhanced with AI-derived forecasts (predictive capacity, adaptation speed, ethical compliance scores).
- Feedback loops are amplified: AI enables near real-time recalibration of strategies, making the CTE model more iterative and less static.

Mathematically, new items may be represented as AI-adjusted coefficients in existing equations (e.g., QoMD, QoIoTSS), where AI effectiveness is factored in as a multiplier. Conceptually, this positions AI not as a separate domain but as the intelligence layer permeating the entire framework.

5.5 Interaction of the AI-CTE indicators with the original CTE Model

The AI-CTE indicators interact with the original CTE model through a set of augmentation and constraint functions. Each original CTE item X_i is extended with an AI coefficient A_i that modifies its value according to $X_i^{AI}=X_i(1+\alpha A_j)$, where α is a sensitivity parameter reflecting the relevance of AI to the item. At the same time, indicators such as the AI Dependency Index introduce corrective penalties expressed as $X_i^{AI}=X_i(1-\beta D)$. These interactions allow the model to capture both the enabling and limiting effects of AI. For example, an operator with strong AI resilience but high external dependency may see technical items improved while environmental items decline. In the scenario analysis, these modified values propagate across the model, generating distinct trajectories for incremental adoption, transformative ecosystems, and disruptive AI dominance. Thus, the AI-CTE framework embeds AI effects directly into the forecasting logic rather than treating AI as an exogenous factor.

5.6 Implications of the AI-CTE Model

The extension of the CTE model with an AI dimension produces several implications:

1. Forecasting Power: The AI-CTE enables long-term scenario planning (incremental adoption, transformative ecosystems, disruptive dominance), supporting operator strategies up to 2050.
2. Comparative Benchmarking: Operators can now be evaluated not only on traditional infrastructure and service metrics but also on their AI maturity across levels.
3. Ethical and Strategic Guidance: By quantifying AI governance and trustworthiness, the model provides operators and regulators with structured guidance for balancing innovation with responsibility.

Ultimately, the AI-CTE Model reframes telecommunications as an intelligence-driven industry, where competitive advantage derives not only from assets and services but from the ability to deploy, govern, and continuously improve AI systems (Table 1).

Key Notes:

- Each AI-extended item still carries a max value of 0.1, consistent with CTE weighting rules.
- The AI Dimension functions as a transversal "intelligence layer," modifying outcomes across all three levels.
- References (e.g., *AI-Ext. App. 1–8*) can be written as dedicated new appendices to mirror the structure of the original paper.

6 Forecasting Telecom Evolution with AI (2025 – 2050)

The period from 2025 to 2050 will mark the most profound transformation in telecommunications history. Unlike earlier phases, where advances were defined mainly by hardware generations (e.g., 2G → 3G → 4G → 5G), the next quarter century will be shaped by the embedding of Artificial Intelligence (AI) as the cognitive core of telecom systems. By extending the Comprehensive Techno-Economic (CTE) Model with an AI dimension, telecom evolution can be forecasted not only in terms of infrastructure and services but also in terms of intelligence, adaptability, and governance.

This chapter outlines the expected trajectories across the three levels of the AI-CTE Model-Technical, Business, and Environmental - and discusses three plausible scenarios for the industry by mid-century.

Table 1 AI-Extended CTE Model — Areas, Items, and Links

CTE Model + AI	**Main Targets/ Items**	**Forward Links**	**Backward Links**
Technical Level (TL)			
Coverage & Accessibility to Users (AI-extended)	AI-assisted spectrum allocation, AI-enhanced QoS monitoring (dynamic DL/UL/ latency)	Technological & IT Development, Services Development, AI Governance	Customer Care, Environmental Level (policy/ finance)
Technological & IT Development (AI-extended)	AI-driven predictive maintenance, AI-enabled energy efficiency (smart orchestration), AI-based network self-optimization (QoAINO)	Products & Services Development, Sales/ Customer Care	Coverage & Accessibility
Business Level (BL)			
Products Development (AI-extended)	AI-personalized tariffs (QoAIPers), AI-assisted portfolio design	Services Development, Brand & Public Presence	Technological & IT Development, AI Governance
Services Development (AI-extended)	AI-curated IoT/Metaverse services AI-driven adaptive bundles	Sales & Customer Care, Brand Presence	Products Development, IT Development
Sales & Customer Care (AI-extended)	AI-powered virtual assistants, Sentiment-aware engagement, Predictive churn management	Brand & Public Presence	Services Development, Products Development
Human Resources (HR, AI-extended)	AI-driven workforce analytics Reskilling for AI collaboration AI-HR decision transparency	Influences all BL + TL + EL areas	From all TL + BL + EL areas
Environmental Level (EL)			
Political, Financial, Regulatory & Law (AI-extended)	AI compliance & governance index (QoAIGov) AI sovereignty/ resilience metrics	All TL & BL areas	None
Quality of Brand & Public Presence (AI-extended)	AI transparency perception, Trust in AI services, Digital ethics in marketing	Sales & Customer Care	Products & Services Development, AI Governance
AI Dimension (Cross-Cutting)	AI maturity index (0–1 scale), AI augmentation multiplier (applied to TL, BL, EL items)	Multiplies or modifies all levels	Depends on data quality from TL, BL, EL

6.1 Technical Evolution (2025 – 2050)

6.1.1 2025 – 2035: Early Autonomous Networks

During the late 2020s and early 2030s, AI will become embedded in the orchestration of 6G mobile systems. Networks will achieve partial autonomy through predictive analytics and closed-loop optimization:

- Coverage&Accessibility: AI will improve real-time spectrum allocation and indoor coverage through adaptive beamforming.
- Technological Development: Predictive maintenance will reduce downtime by up to 40%, while AI-enabled orchestration will begin to integrate terrestrial, satellite, and optical domains.
- IT Development: Cloud-native architectures will evolve into AI-native cores, where functions are dynamically instantiated based on demand.

6.1.2 2035 – 2045: Fully Intelligent Infrastructures

By the mid-2030s, 6G and Li-Fi 3.0 systems will be deployed, characterized by near-zero latency (less than 1 ms) and terabit-per-second capacities. AI will manage heterogeneous infrastructure as a unified, self-optimizing entity:

- Self-HealingNetworks: Fault detection, isolation, and correction will occur with minimal human oversight.
- Energy Efficiency: AI-driven orchestration will cut energy-per-bit by over 70% relative to 2025 baselines, supporting sustainability goals.
- Digital Twins: Entire networks will be mirrored in real time for testing, optimization, and cyber-resilience.

6.1.3 2045 – 2050: Cognitive and Quantum-Enhanced Networks

By mid-century, telecom infrastructures will evolve into cognitive systems:

- Quantum-AI Integration: Quantum communication and quantum AI will enable unbreakable security and ultra-precise optimization.
- Ubiquitous Connectivity: Terrestrial, aerial, satellite, and undersea systems will converge into a single planetary-scale intelligent network.
- AI Autonomy: Networks will not only self-optimize but also negotiate with other networks and ecosystems, forming multi-agent telecom intelligence systems.

6.2 Business Evolution (2025 – 2050)

6.2.1 2025-2035: AI Enhanced Personalization

Telecoms will rely on AI to deliver adaptive tariffs, real-time service bundles, and predictive customer care [25]:

- Products & Services: IoT verticals (smart cities, healthcare, agriculture) will be AI-curated.
- Customer Care: Virtual assistants with conversational AI will handle over 70% of inquiries.
- Revenue Models: Initial AI-as-a-Service (AIaaS) offerings will emerge, where operators monetize internal AI capabilities externally.

6.2.2 2035-2045: Platform Convergence

Telecom operators will transform into intelligent platforms rather than pure infrastructure providers:

- Immersive Services: AI will orchestrate experiences in metaverse and post-metaverse environments, blending AR/VR, IoT, and edge computing.
- Workforce: AI will automate operational tasks, while HR strategies will focus on reskilling for collaborative human-AI work.
- Ecosystem Partnerships: Telecoms will partner with hyperscalers, automotive, and energy industries to deliver cross-sector AI-enabled services.

6.2.3 2045-2050: Hyper-Personalized and Predictive Economies

By mid-century, telecom operators will function as predictive utilities, offering not just connectivity but proactive services based on AI's anticipatory models:

- Hyper-Personalization: Services will be tailored at the level of individuals and micro-communities, with AI predicting demand before it arises.
- New Economies: Operators will provide synthetic workforce solutions (AI agents acting on behalf of users or enterprises).
- Strategic Differentiation: Competitiveness will hinge on trust, transparency, and governance rather than raw infrastructure alone.

6.3 Environmental Evolution (2025 – 2050)

6.3.1 2025 – 2035: Early AI Governance

Regulators will introduce frameworks for algorithmic transparency, bias control, and data privacy in telecom AI systems. Compliance will become a new performance indicator in the AI-CTE Model [26].

6.3.2 2035 – 2045: Global Standards and Geopolitical Tensions

AI regulation will converge into international standards (ITU, OECD, IEEE), but geopolitical competition over AI-enabled infrastructures will intensify. Operators in smaller markets will face dependency risks on global AI platforms.

6.3.3 2045 – 2050: Ethical and Sovereign AI Ecosystem

By mid-century, telecoms will be evaluated as much by their AI ethics and sovereignty as by their technical performance:

- Ethical Trust: Public acceptance will depend on the fairness, inclusivity, and transparency of AI systems.
- AI Sovereignty: Nations will seek autonomous AI capabilities to reduce dependence on foreign platforms.
- Sustainability Integration: AI will be judged by its contribution to climate goals, the circular economy, and digital equity.

6.4 Scenarios for 2025 – 2050

Using the AI-CTE framework, three scenarios emerge:

- Incremental AI Adoption – AI improves efficiency, but telecoms remain infrastructure-centric.
- Transformative AI Ecosystem – Telecoms become intelligent platforms, deeply embedded in multiple industries.
- Disruptive AI Dominance – Global AI platforms displace traditional telecoms, relegating operators to utility roles.

Each scenario reflects varying degrees of AI maturity, regulatory alignment, and strategic positioning.

The AI-CTE Model supports scenario-based forecasting to capture uncertainty (Table 2).

Table 2 Scenarios for AI-driven Telecom Evolution (2025 – 2050)

Scenario	Characteristics	Implications
Incremental AI Adoption	Efficiency-focused integration of AI; operators remain infrastructure-centric.	Gradual improvements, but risk of losing competitiveness to global platforms.
Transformative AI Ecosystems	Telecoms evolve into intelligent service platforms across industries.	Balanced growth, new revenue models, strong partnerships.
Disruptive AI Dominance	Global AI platforms replace traditional operators in core functions.	Operators risk marginalization; sovereignty concerns intensify.

6.5 Implications for the AI-CTE Model

Forecasting to 2050 underscores that AI is not a temporary enhancement but the structural driver of telecom evolution. The AI-CTE Model enables:

- Quantitative forecasting through AI-augmented item equations (e.g., QoAINO, QoAIPers, QoAIGov).
- Comparative benchmarking across operators and markets by AI maturity.
- Policy guidance for balancing innovation with responsibility.

In sum, telecoms by 2050 will no longer be judged solely on coverage, capacity, or cost, but on the intelligence, ethics, and adaptability of their AI-augmented ecosystems [27].

7 Risk, Challenges, and Ethical Considerations

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into the Comprehensive Techno-Economic (CTE) Model promises transformative benefits for the telecommunications sector. However, the shift toward AI-augmented ecosystems also introduces risks, challenges, and ethical considerations that must be addressed for sustainable development. These issues manifest across all levels of the AI-CTE framework — technical, business, and environmental — and demand a holistic strategy combining technological innovation with ethical governance. [28] [29]

7.1 Technical Risks and Challenges

7.1.1 Reliability and Robustness

AI systems embedded in network orchestration and optimization may produce erroneous decisions under unforeseen conditions. Faulty predictions in resource allocation, anomaly detection, or energy management could compromise network reliability and user safety. Ensuring robustness requires rigorous validation, redundancy, and continuous monitoring.

7.1.2 Cybersecurity Vulnerabilities

AI introduces new attack surfaces. Adversarial machine learning and data poisoning attacks can manipulate AI models to degrade performance or breach security. The complexity of AI-driven telecom infrastructures amplifies the challenge of securing every component, particularly in multi-agent or distributed environments.

7.1.3 Dependency and Data Quality

The effectiveness of AI depends heavily on the quality, representativeness, and integrity of data. Biased or incomplete datasets may result in suboptimal or discriminatory outcomes, undermining fairness and technical efficiency. Establishing transparent data governance frameworks will be crucial.

7.2 Business Risks and Challenges

7.2.1 Workforce Disruption

AI-driven automation threatens to displace roles in network management, customer care, and operations. While new positions will emerge in AI governance and oversight, the transition requires proactive reskilling and workforce adaptation strategies to mitigate job displacement and social resistance.

7.2.2 Vendor Lock-In and Platform Dependency

The reliance on global AI providers and hyperscalers could increase vendor lock-in risks, limiting operators' autonomy and bargaining power. This dependency poses long-term business risks, particularly for smaller telecom operators in emerging markets.

7.2.3 Market Inequality and Access Gaps

AI may deepen disparities between large operators with advanced AI resources and smaller players constrained by capital or expertise. Without coordinated strategies, the telecom market could fragment, leaving certain populations underserved and exacerbating digital divides.

7.3 Environmental and Ethics Challenges

7.3.1 Regulatory Uncertainty

The regulatory landscape for AI in telecommunications remains fragmented and evolving. Operators face uncertainty regarding compliance with national and international privacy, transparency, and algorithmic accountability guidelines. Misalignment between jurisdictions may hinder global interoperability.

7.3.2 Ethical Considerations of AI Use

AI-mediated decision-making in telecoms raises ethical questions of fairness, inclusivity, and accountability. Bias in AI-driven customer care, service personalization, or access prioritization could marginalize vulnerable groups. Operators must integrate ethical auditing into their operational models.

7.3.3 Public and Trust Perception

Public acceptance of AI-enabled telecom systems depends on trust in transparency and fairness. If customers perceive AI as opaque, manipulative, or exploitative, the reputational risks could outweigh technological benefits. Building public trust requires explainable AI, participatory design, and transparent communication strategies.

7.3.4 Sustainability and Environmental Impact

While AI can enhance energy efficiency, its computational intensity may increase the carbon footprint of telecom operations, especially with large-scale model training. Balancing efficiency gains with the sustainability of AI itself will be essential to align with climate targets.

7.4 Mitigation Strategies within the AI-CTE Framework

The AI-CTE Model can incorporate dedicated items and indices to monitor and mitigate risks:

- Technical: "AI Resilience Score" to evaluate robustness against adversarial conditions.
- Business: "AI Dependency Index" to quantify reliance on external platforms and risk of lock-in.
- Environmental: "AI Ethical Governance Score" to measure compliance with transparency, fairness, and inclusivity standards.

By embedding these safeguards into the model, operators and regulators can transform risks into measurable performance factors, ensuring a balance between innovation and responsibility.

7.5 Outlook

Risks and ethical challenges are not peripheral issues but central determinants of AI's future success in telecommunications [30]. If left unaddressed, they may undermine public trust, operator autonomy, and the very sustainability of digital infrastructures. However, if integrated into the AI-CTE framework, they can serve as guardrails, ensuring that the evolution of telecommunications toward 2050 remains not only technologically advanced but also ethically sound and socially inclusive [31].Table 3 is designed to:

- Map risks directly to CTE items for measurable monitoring.
- Provide forward/backward links consistent with model structure.
- Serve as a summary matrix that regulators, operators, and researchers can use for decision-making.

Table 3 Risks, Impacts, and Mitigation Strategies in AI-Driven Telecoms

Risk Category	**Specific Risk**	**Potential Impact**	**Mitigation Strategy (AI-CTE integration)**
Technical	Reliability of AI models	Service outages, degraded QoS, safety issues	Introduce *AI Resilience Score*; continuous testing and redundancy mechanisms
	Cybersecurity vulnerabilities (adversarial ML, data poisoning)	Breach of confidentiality, denial of service, loss of trust	Strengthen AI-specific security audits; integrate *AI Security Index* into TL evaluation
	Data quality dependency	Biased, unfair, or inaccurate decisions	Implement *Data Governance Index*; enforce data integrity and diversity requirements
Business	Workforce disruption from automation	Job displacement, social resistance, and reputational risks	Include *HR AI Adaptation Index*; reskilling and human–AI collaboration programs
	Vendor lock-in to global AI platforms	Reduced autonomy, increased costs, dependency	Define *AI Dependency Index*; diversify vendors and promote open AI standards
	Market inequality and access gaps	Widening digital divides, marginalization of smaller operators	Regulatory incentives; include *Digital Equity Indicator* in BL assessments
Environmental / Ethical	Regulatory uncertainty	Compliance risks, fragmented markets	Introduce *AI Governance Score*; monitor alignment with ITU/OECD/IEEE standards
	Algorithmic bias and fairness issues	Exclusion of vulnerable groups, discrimination	Integrate *AI Ethical Compliance Index*; regular fairness and inclusivity audits
	Public trust and perception	Resistance to AI adoption, reputational damage	Develop *Transparency & Trust Index*; adopt explainable AI and participatory design
	Environmental footprint of AI models	Rising energy demand, misalignment with climate goals	Add *Sustainability Score*; measure AI energy intensity and balance with efficiency gains

8 Strategic Recommendations

The extension of the Comprehensive Techno-Economic (CTE) Model with an Artificial Intelligence (AI) dimension provides a holistic framework for evaluating and forecasting the evolution of the telecommunications sector. However, realizing the benefits of AI-driven transformation requires deliberate strategies that balance technological innovation, business sustainability, regulatory compliance, and ethical responsibility. This chapter outlines strategic recommendations for operators, policymakers, and stakeholders to guide telecom development toward 2050 [32], [33].

8.1 Technical Strategies

8.1.1 Invest in AI-Native Infrastructure

Operators should prioritize the transition from cloud-native to AI-native architectures, in which orchestration, resource allocation, and optimization are managed autonomously. Early deployment of AI-based digital twins will support predictive optimization and resilience.

8.1.2 Enhance Cybersecurity by Design

AI-specific cybersecurity strategies, including adversarial training, data integrity monitoring, and resilience testing, must accompany AI-driven automation. Security metrics such as the AI Resilience Score should be integrated into technical evaluations.

8.1.3 Prioritize Energy-Efficient AI Systems

To meet climate targets, AI deployment in telecom networks should be optimized to reduce energy per bit. Metrics such as the AI Sustainability Score can incentivize operators to balance computational intensity with ecological responsibility [34], [35].

8.2 Business Strategies

8.2.1 Develop Human-AI Collaborative Workforces

Operators must adopt reskilling and upskilling programs to prepare the workforce for AI collaboration. Strategic use of the HR AI Adaptation Index can measure progress in integrating human expertise with machine intelligence.

8.2.2 Diversify Revenue Models

Beyond connectivity, operators should pursue AI-as-a-Service (AIaaS) and cross-sector partnerships (healthcare, automotive, energy). Embedding QoAIPers (Quality of AI Personalization) into product evaluations will foster hyper-personalized and adaptive service portfolios.

8.2.3 Avoid Vendor Lock-In

Strategic procurement should ensure interoperability and prevent dependency on global AI platforms. An AI Dependency Index can support benchmarking and encourage the adoption of open standards and sovereign AI solutions.

8.3 Environmental and Regulatory Strategies

8.3.1 Establish Ethical and Governance Frameworks

Operators should adopt AI ethical compliance programs aligned with ITU, OECD, and IEEE standards. A structured AI Governance Score will ensure accountability, transparency, and fairness across services.

8.3.2 Build Public Trust through Transparency

Public acceptance of AI-driven telecoms depends on trust and explainability. Operators should provide transparent communication, participatory design, and algorithmic auditing. An AI Transparency & Trust Index will capture public sentiment and guide improvement.

8.3.3 Strengthen AI Sovereignty and Equity

Governments and regulators should support investments in sovereign AI infrastructure to reduce dependency on global providers. At the same time, targeted incentives and universal service obligations must prevent AI-driven digital divides.

8.4 Cross-Cutting Strategies Directions

8.4.1 Integrate AI into Strategic Foresight

The AI-CTE Model should be institutionalized as a decision-support framework for operators and regulators, enabling continuous scenario analysis (incremental adoption, transformative ecosystems, disruptive dominance).

8.4.2 Promote Collaborative Ecosystems

Strategic alliances among telecom operators, research institutions, startups, and regulators will accelerate innovation. Shared AI testbeds, regulatory sandboxes, and joint R&D investments are recommended.

8.4.3 Align AI with Sustainability Goals

AI deployment must be evaluated not only for economic and technical benefits but also for environmental and societal impact. The AI-CTE framework should explicitly integrate Sustainability Scores and Digital Equity Indicators as long-term performance measures [36], [37].

8.5 Outlook

Although the AI-CTE Model is presented as a conceptual foresight framework, its value lies in the structured insights it enables rather than in numerical predictions. The model clarifies how AI maturity, governance, resilience, and dependency reshape the original CTE indicators, allowing operators and policymakers to identify where AI strengthens technical performance, where it creates strategic vulnerabilities, and where governance gaps may hinder long-term competitiveness. By applying AI-augmentation and penalty functions across technical, business, and environmental domains, the framework reveals concrete patterns: for example, operators with strong automation but weak governance score well on short-term efficiency but underperform on long-term sustainability and strategic autonomy. In scenario analysis, the AI-CTE Model differentiates how telecom landscapes evolve under incremental adoption, transformative ecosystems, or disruptive AI dominance. Thus, while detailed empirical calibration is left for future work, the framework already provides a clear practical contribution: it enables a systematic, multidimensional assessment of how AI changes the trajectory of telecom development, highlights critical trade-offs, and supports strategic decision-making in environments characterized by uncertainty, dependence, and rapid technological change [38] [39].

9 Conclusion

This paper introduces the AI-CTE Model as a conceptual foresight framework designed to capture the transformative role of Artificial Intelligence across the technical, business, and environmental layers of telecommunications by 2050. By embedding AI as a transversal intelligence layer, the model shifts the analytical focus from static performance indicators to dynamic, adaptive, and governance-dependent behaviours that increasingly define telecom competitiveness. The framework integrates new AI-specific indicators - such as resilience, governance, dependency, and transparency - and demonstrates, both qualitatively and through representative formulas, how these interact with the original CTE items. AI, therefore, becomes an integral part of the forecasting mechanism rather than an exogenous technological assumption.

Through scenario-based foresight, the study outlines three plausible trajectories: incremental AI adoption, transformative AI ecosystems, and disruptive AI dominance. These scenarios illustrate how varying levels of AI maturity, ethical alignment, and strategic autonomy can reshape the sector's long-term evolution. The inclusion of risk, dependency, and governance constraints highlights that AI's benefits are neither automatic nor uniform; instead, they depend on the balance between capability, responsibility, and sovereignty.

The contributions of this work are both conceptual and strategic. Conceptually, the AI-CTE Model extends a well-established techno-economic framework into a form capable of capturing multi-domain AI impacts. Strategically, it provides operators, regulators, and policymakers with a structured tool for evaluating technology trajectories, investment priorities, and governance challenges in an AI-intensive environment. The model underscores that future telecom competitiveness will be defined not only by infrastructure or spectrum assets, but by the intelligence, transparency, and resilience of AI-augmented systems.

At the same time, this study acknowledges its position as a conceptual foresight framework. The full empirical validation of AI indicators, their quantitative calibration, and the mathematical operationalisation of AI-augmented coefficients remain tasks for future research. Subsequent work should focus on collecting longitudinal datasets, refining AI maturity scales, validating sensitivity parameters, and stress-testing the model across market contexts and disruptive events such as quantum breakthroughs or systemic cyberattacks. By explicitly deferring detailed operationalisation, the present study establishes a foundation for more empirically grounded research.

In conclusion, the AI-CTE framework reframes telecommunications as a domain where intelligence, ethics, and autonomy are central determinants of long-term performance. If responsibly governed, AI-driven ecosystems hold the potential to deliver not only more efficient and resilient networks but also more equitable, transparent, and sustainable digital infrastructures by 2050.

Conflicts of Interest: The author(s) report there are no competing interests to declare;

References

[1] I. Jurčić and S. Gotovac, "A Comprehensive Techno-Economic Model for Fast and Reliable Analysis of the Telecom Operator Potentials", *Applied Sciences*, vol. 12, no. 20, p. 10658, Oct. 2022, doi: 10.3390/app122010658.

[2] A. McAfee and E. Brynjolfsson, Machine, platform, crowd: harnessing our digital future, First published as a Norton paperback. New York London: W. W. Norton & Company, 2018.

[3] "2023 AI for Good Global Summit Snapshot Report", AI for Good. Accessed: Dec. 08, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://aiforgood.itu.int/reports_publications/2023-ai-for-good-global-summit-snapshot-report/

[4] "Telco AI: State of the Market, Q4 2024 | GSMA Intelligence". Accessed: Dec. 11, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.gsmaintelligence.com/research/telco-ai-state-of-the-market-q4-2024

[5] Computer Technologies Engineering Department, Al-Hadba University College, Mosul, Iraq, A. M. Ahmed, S. A. Majeed, and Y. S. Dawood, "A Survey of 6G Mobile Systems, Enabling Technologies, and Challenges", *ijeetc*, pp. 1–21, 2023, doi: 10.18178/ijeetc.12.1.1-21.

[6] N. Omheni, H. Koubaa, and F. Zarai, "Artificial Intelligence for 5G and 6G Networks: A Taxonomy-Based Survey of Applications, Trends, and Challenges", *Technologies*, vol. 13, no. 12, p. 559, Dec. 2025, doi: 10.3390/technologies13120559.

[7] "AI and the Next Era of Personalization: Customer Experience 2030 Report", Accenture Strategy Insights, 2024, https://www.accenture.com.

[8] P. Singh, "AI-Driven Personalization in Telecom Customer Support: Enhancing User Experience and Loyalty", *SSRN Journal*, 2025, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.5218986.

[9] A. Saxena, S. Pundir, R. Kalra, V. D. Vani, R. C. Madhav, and V. K. Singh, "AI Driven Cognitive Radio Networks for Spectrum Optimization", in *6th International Conference on Contemporary Computing and Informatics (IC3I)*, Sept. 2023, pp. 2667–2673. doi: 10.1109/IC3I59117.2023.10397657.

[10] "Artificial intelligence in space". Accessed: Dec. 08, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.esa.int/Enabling_Support/Preparing_for_the_Future/Discovery_and_Preparation/Artificial_intelligence_in_space

[11] "The economic potential of generative AI: The next productivity frontier", McKinsey & Company, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/tech-and-ai/our-insights/the-economic-potential-of-generative-ai-the-next-productivity-frontier

[12] "Artificial intelligence". [Online]. Available: https://www.oecd.org/en/topics/artificial-intelligence.html

[13] "Ethically Aligned Design: A Vision for Prioritizing Human Well-being with Autonomous and Intelligent Systems", The IEEE Global Initiative on Ethics of Autonomous and Intelligent Systems, 2019. [Online]. Available: https://standards.ieee.org/wp-content/uploads/import/documents/other/ead_v2.pdf

[14] J. D. Sterman, *Business Dynamics: Systems Thinking and Modeling for a Complex World*, 1st Edition. McGraw-Hill, 2000. Accessed: Dec. 09, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.mheducation.com/highered/product/business-dynamics-sterman.html

[15] J. W. Forrester, "System dynamics—the next fifty years", System Dynamics Review, vol. 23, no. 2–3, pp. 359–370, June 2007, doi: 10.1002/sdr.381.

[16] A. Agarwal, "Standardizing Responsible AI in Telecom: Ensuring Fairness, Robustness and Trustworthiness", Telecommunications, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://tec.gov.in/pdf/AI-ML/e-Telecommunications%20journal-Jan2024_250517_121135.pdf#page=17

[17] L. Shonhe, Q. Min, and R. Phuti, "Government AI readiness in the ESARBICA community : findings from the Oxford Insights AI Readiness Index 2022", *ESARBICA Journal: Journal of the Eastern and Southern Africa Regional Branch of the International Council on Archives*, vol. 43, pp. 84–101, 2024, Accessed: Dec. 11, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.ajol.info/index.php/esarjo/article/view/290249

[18] H. Pennanen, T. Hänninen, O. Tervo, A. Tölli, and M. Latva-Aho, "6G: The Intelligent Network of Everything", *IEEE Access*, vol. 13, pp. 1319–1421, 2025, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3521579.

[19] V. Kulshrestha and Karan R. Jagdale, "Disruptive technology directions for 6G", in *Towards Wireless Heterogeneity in 6G Networks*, 1st Edition., CRC Press, 2024, pp. 18–32. doi: 10.1201/9781003369028-2.

[20] E. Astaiza Hoyos, H. F. Bermúdez-Orozco, and J. A. Aldana-Gutierrez, "Towards 6G: A Review of Optical Transport Challenges for Intelligent and Autonomous Communications", *Computation*, vol. 13, no. 12, p. 286, Dec. 2025, doi: 10.3390/computation13120286.

[21] P. Mandon, "Beyond the AI Divide: A Simple Approach to Identifying Global and Local Overperformers in AI Preparedness". World Bank Group & Reproducible Research Repository, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/server/api/core/bitstreams/82fbc048-b723-4818-bb91-9a4c8855daf1/content

[22] P. Botsinis et al., "New Access and Flexible Topologies in 6G: Architectural Implications", in *Joint European Conference on Networks and Communications & 6G Summit (EuCNC/6G Summit)*, Antwerp, Belgium: IEEE, June 2024, pp. 682–687. doi: 10.1109/EuCNC/6GSummit60053.2024.10597028.

[23] Ph.D., Professor of CENTRUM Catolica Graduate Business School and Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú, Urbanización Los Álamos de Monterrico, Jirón Daniel Alomía Robles 125, Santiago de Surco 15023, Lima, Peru, A. Florek-Paszkowska, A. Ujwary-Gil, and Ph.D., Hab., Professor of Institute of Economics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Nowy Swiat 72, 00-330 Warsaw, Poland, "The Digital-Sustainability Ecosystem: A conceptual framework for digital transformation and sustainable innovation", *JEMI*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 116–137, 2025, doi: 10.7341/20252127.

[24] "Artificial Intelligence Risk Management Framework (AI RMF 1.0)", National Institute of Standards and Technology, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/ai/nist.ai.100-1.pdf

[25] "Understanding the EU AI Act: Requirements and Next Steps", ISACA, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.isaca.org/-/media/files/isacadp/project/isaca/resources/white-papers/understanding-the-eu-ai-act_1024.pdf

[26] "AI Standards for Global Impact: From Governance to Action", ITUPublications, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.itu.int/dms_pub/itu-t/opb/ai4g/T-AI4G-AI4GOOD-2025-4-PDF-E.pdf

[27] "TMT Predictions 2026: The AI gap narrows but persists", Deloitte Insights. Accessed: Dec. 11, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.deloitte.com/us/en/insights/industry/technology/technology-media-and-telecom-predictions.html

[28] S. F. Drampalou, D. Uzunidis, A. Vetsos, N. I. Miridakis, and P. Karkazis, "A User-Centric Perspective of 6G Networks: A Survey", *IEEE Access*, vol. 12, pp. 190255–190294, 2024, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3516194.

[29] Y. Xiao et al., "Space-Air-Ground Integrated Wireless Networks for 6G: Basics, Key Technologies, and Future Trends", *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 42, no. 12, pp. 3327–3354, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.1109/JSAC.2024.3492720.

[30] G. Fernandes, H. Fontes, and R. Campos, "Semantic Communications: the New Paradigm Behind Beyond 5G Technologies", June 02, 2024, *arXiv*: arXiv:2406.00754. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2406.00754.

[31] N. Omheni, H. Koubaa, and F. Zarai, "Artificial Intelligence for 5G and 6G Networks: A Taxonomy-Based Survey of Applications, Trends, and Challenges", *Technologies*, vol. 13, no. 12, p. 559, Dec. 2025, doi: 10.3390/technologies13120559.

[32] S. B. Chetty et al., "Sovereign AI for 6G: Towards the Future of AI-Native Networks", Sept. 08, 2025, *arXiv*: arXiv:2509.06700. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2509.06700.

[33] S. Min and B. Kim, "AI Technology Adoption in Corporate IT Network Operations Based on the TOE Model", *Digital*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 947–970, Nov. 2024, doi: 10.3390/digital4040047.

[34] J. Lee, F. Solat, T. Y. Kim, and H. V. Poor, "Federated Learning-Empowered Mobile Network Management for 5G and Beyond Networks: From Access to Core", IEE*E Commun. Surv. Tutorials*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 2176–2212, 2024, doi: 10.1109/COMST.2024.3352910.

[35] A. Awad Abdellatif, A. Abo-Eleneen, A. Mohamed, A. Erbad, N. V. Navkar, and M. Guizani, "Intelligent-Slicing: An AI-Assisted Network Slicing Framework for 5G-and-Beyond Networks", *IEEE Trans. Netw. Serv. Manage.*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 1024–1039, June 2023, doi: 10.1109/TNSM.2023.3274236.

[36] J. H. Lee, R. Phaal, and S.-H. Lee, "An integrated service-device-technology roadmap for smart city development", *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, vol. 80, no. 2, pp. 286–306, Feb. 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.techfore.2012.09.020.

[37] S. K. Baloch, T. Abbas, S. Hussain, and S. Ahmed, "The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Electrical Engineering: A Review of Current Applications and Future Prospects", *ABBDM*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 95–121, Aug. 2025, doi: 10.62019/abts8k78.

[38] R. Khan, B. Zainab, A. A. Prince, M. Iftikhar, and A. Raza, "Artificial Intelligence and 6G Integration: Transforming the Digital Technology Landscape", *Spectrum of Engineering Sciences*, pp. 717–737, June 2025, Accessed: Dec. 11, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://thesesjournal.com/index.php/1/article/view/502

[39] L. Zhang, Z. Shao, B. Chen, and J. Benitez, "Unraveling Generative AI Adoption in Enterprise Digital Platforms: The Effect of Institutional Pressures and the Moderating Role of Internal and External Environments", *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, vol. 72, pp. 335–348, 2025, doi: 10.1109/TEM.2024.3513773.